

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			T SOURT NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
APPLICATION NO.		SE-CHANG WON	51152.00003	5176
09/481,091	01/11/2000	SL-OILING W		

7590

05/31/2002

GRAHAM & JAMES LLP 801 S FIGUEROA ST 14TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 900175554 EXAMINER
AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	•	09/481,091	WON, SE-CHANG
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871
	· The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
ried for	Renly		
THE N - Extension after S - If the s - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP INJURY OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statisply received by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1,136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MM	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
1)[This action is FINAI 2b)	This action is non-final.	
2a)☐	This dollar to the sendition for all	wance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the merits is
3)	Since this application is in condition for and closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	on of Claims	*	
4)[Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	ion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers	niner	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	are: a\⊠ accepted or b\□ (objected to by the Examiner.
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 January 2000</u> is/Applicant may not request that any objection to	ate. a) accepted or b)	pevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)∏ approved b)∫	disapproved by the Examiner.
11)[If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n renly to this Office action.	
🗂	If approved, corrected drawings are required to	Examiner.	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, <u></u>	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	roign priority under 35 H S	C 8 119(a)-(d) or (f).
		reight phonty under se ene	
a	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ments have been received	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received	in Application No.
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ments have been received	een received in this National Stage
,	application from the Internations	a list of the certified copies	not received.
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application h	as been received.
Attachm			
2) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
. —	nd Trademark Office	_	Part of Paper No. 1

Application/Control Number: 09/481,091

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application
as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29,
2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this
application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the
AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

a. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al (Kim) (U.S.Patent No. 6,175,396). Kim discloses a mounting bracket 70, for a liquid crystal display module (Fig. 10) having a vertical portion 72 and a first horizontal portion 71 wherein the first horizontal portion is substantially perpendicular to a first end of the vertical portion. The preamble 'having a rear and front frames and a LCD panel mounted between the rear and front frames' is not given any patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/481,091 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4, 7-9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being a. unpatentable over Kim (U.S.Patent No. 6,175,396) in view of Yun et al (Yun) (U.S.Patent No. 5,835,139). The claimed limitations 'be coupled to the front frame, and the first horizontal portion is constructed to be coupled to the rear frame' of claim 7, and 'a second horizontal portion for supporting the front frame' of claim 8, and 'wherein the vertical portion is constructed to be coupled to a monitor case' of claim 9 are considered to be as "intended use" since the front frame, rear frame and the monitor case are not elements of the mounting bracket. Besides, Yun discloses the front frame, rear frame, monitor case for an LCD monitor for a computer. Kim further discloses a second (upper) horizontal portion 73 that is substantially perpendicular to a second end of the vertical portion 72. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the mounting technique of Kim to enhance (1) the robustness of the display device and (2) to enhance the mechanical fixing strength of the device.

Application/Control Number: 09/481,091

Art Unit: 2871

b. Claims 1-3, 5, 10-13, 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurihara et al (Kurihara) (U.S.Patent No. 5,946,061) in view of Yun et al (YUN) (U.S.Patent 5,835,139).

As to claims 1-3 and 5: Yun discloses a LCD device that includes a liquid crystal module 300 having a front frame 400 and a second frame 190, a rear monitor case 500. Yun further discloses the assembly of this module to the rear monitor case 500, via holes 410a, 410b and coupling members 430. Yun's method of using screws 430 to assemble the liquid crystal display has been further improved by Kurihara and others. Although the use of screws to assemble the LCD device is adequate, further improvements are disclosed by other inventors such as Kurihara, in making the frame more sturdier for better withstanding vibrations and shock due to various operating environments of the module such as mishandling, portability etc.

Instead of using screws to attach the liquid crystal module to the rear monitor case, Kurihara teaches the use of mounting a bracket 12 having a horizontal portion and a vertical portion. Module 11 is shown as a complete assembly having a first frame, a second frame and a liquid crystal panel.

Kurihara's module 11 is equivalent to the liquid crystal display device 700 of Yun. Kurihara further discloses a rear monitor casing 14, for encasing the liquid crystal display module 11.

Kurihara further discloses a bracket 13 having a vertical coupling portion 13b and a horizontal coupling portion 13a with one end of the vertical portion

Art Unit: 2871

being perpendicular to the horizontal portion. When assembled, the brackets 12, 13, the module 11 and the rear monitor case 14 will form the liquid crystal display for a computer.

Kurihara further discloses mounting screw holes 13d and 12a for mounting the bracket to the module 11 and mounting holes 13a to the rear monitor case 14. Having mounting holes in the bottom or on the side surface of the rear monitor case is a matter of design choice. In Fig. 2, a cylindrical portion is disclosed on bracket 13, a substantial portion of which is perpendicular to the vertical portion 13b of the bracket 13.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the mounting technique of Kurihara to enhance (1) the robustness of the display device (2) to reduce the space required for fixing the module with the case and (3) to enhance the mechanical fixing strength of the device.

As to claims 10 and 11: Although, Yun discloses direct mounting of the module 700 to the rear monitor case 500 using mounting screws 430 and mounting holes 410, Yun does not show the use of a bracket. However, Kurihara discloses a mounting bracket 12 with a vertical portion 12 a, integrally mounted to a horizontal portion of the module 11b where the horizontal portion is substantially perpendicular to the vertical portion. The vertical portion is designed to be coupled to the monitor case 14, via bracket 13. The mounting holes as shown are design choices and can be placed in any configuration.

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 09/481,091

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the mounting bracket of Kurihara to enhance (1) the robustness of the display device (2) to reduce the space required for fixing the module with the case and (3) to enhance the mechanical fixing strength of the device.

As to claims 12-13, 15-24: Although, Yun discloses a LCD display device for a computer 600 and a liquid crystal monitor 300, having a LCD panel 300, a back light 110, a rear frame 400 and a front frame 190, a rear monitor case 500, mounting screws 430 and mounting holes 410, Yun does not disclose the use of brackets to assemble the device. However, Kurihara teaches the use of mounting brackets 12 and 13 to enhance the ruggedness of the device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the mounting technique of Kurihara to enhance (1) the robustness of the display device (2) to reduce the space required for fixing the module with the case and (3) to enhance the mechanical fixing strength of the device.

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 3. applicant's disclosure.
 - 1) Ishihara et al (U.S.Patent No. 6,064,565): discloses a different mounting bracket and configuration.
 - (2) Won (U.S.Patent No. 6,046,785): discloses another mounting configuration.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/481,091

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prasad R Akkapeddi whose telephone number is 703-305-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM to 5:30PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-4767 for regular communications and 703-305-4767 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0530.

May 29, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

William L Seps